EXHIBIT 400 TO CISNEROS DECLARATION REDACTED VERSION

Agenda/Objectives



Objective:

Provide overview of new report for awareness

Intel Confidential

Agenda:

- Report Overview
- Schedule
- High Level Design
- Support
- Next Steps & Opens

(intel)

Pay Transparency Project



Opportunity

- Improve Manager/BGHR pay decisions
- Boost perceived C&B value and pay transparency
- Increase competitive-pay confidence in Managers
- Increase Employee retention, effort, and focus
- Ensure competitive pay in Staffing offers
- Effectively spend Focal budgets

Vision

- Provide a single point for relevant and actionable information to improve effectiveness of people management:
 - Relevant information that directly tied to the manager role and level within the organization.
 - Actionable information that will alert the managers as to when and what kind of action needs to be taken in order to meet operational or strategic targets
- Base pay design is and is perceived to be FAIR and EFFECTIVE






High Level Requirements



Report Concepts: 1,2,4 & 5 would be based on current GENI data, Focal cycle results would reflect in reports after April 1st annually

- Release 1
- 1. Enable Managers view their direct staff's pay comparisons to internal and external market pay ranges in graph and grid/tabular formats, emulate sub managers
 - Target Audience: ~1000 Managers who are participating in the Focal Pilot*
 - 2. Enable BGHR/C&B/Staffing to emulate 1 manager and see the direct staff report for this manager (same report as # 1)
 - Target Audience: ~ 100 BGHR and ~ 100 C&E/Staffing
 - 3. Provide Pay Transparency report usage data
 - Target Audience: Project Team of < 10
 - 4. Enable BGHR to filter on the entire Intel population and get employee level data (graph/grid) per filter selection, includes aggregated data
 - 5. Enable Managers to filter on their entire Organization and get employee level data (graph/grid) per filter selection, includes aggregated data
 - Enable real-time modeling of Focal pay changes made by a Manager for their direct reports/Focal Group and compare to internal and external pay ranges (during the 4 week Focal window)
 - Focal 2011/2012 project scope for post 2011 cycle effort (Q3 2011 timeframe)?
 - Design future in Mgr Dash, additional fields in Focal Tool TBD
 - 7. Provide C&B way to configure data
 - Percentile ranges and add/edit/delete pay groups





8.00 h


Schedule for Q1 2001 Release




Phase	Estimated Dates	Status	
Project Charter Approved	WW 43-46	Done	
Exploration	WW 47	Done	
Planning/Design	WW 48	Done	
Development/Testing	WW 49-03	On Track	
Deployment			
Silent Release	WW 04		
Go-Live to Stabilization	WW 05-09	- Mail	
Retrospective into next Release	~WW 10		

400.9

Intel Confidential (intel)





Testing Summary



- · Test scripts completion:
 - 3 testing scripts were executed 20 times, included unscripted testing:
 - Managers script- 8 executions
 - · BGHR script- 6 executions
 - · BGHR non HR4HR script- 6 executions
- Performance and Manager UAT testing completed
- · All known defects are closed
- 2 change requests (10 items) were accepted and developed during the testing phase

Severity	Number Open	Number Closed	Number Deferred	Total	
Showstopper	0	0	0	0	
High	0	14	9	23	
Medium	0	25	10	35	
Low	0	14	24	38	
Total	0	53	43	96	


H-007

Performance Testing




- All the performance testing were executed in a focal period environment (extreme load on the servers)
- 727 reports simulations ran, average execution time on the server side:0.7 seconds.
- 118 Download to excel simulations, average execution time on the server side 4.43 seconds. only for all Intel organization data the simulation time was more than 7 seconds.

Reports\Download to excel per execution time







Applying Pay Report to Focal Decisions



- Situation: Average performer is among the highest paid for their comparator groups (e.g., 90th percentile of external market or Intel peers)
- Action: Freeze pay, coach ee re: steps to move to next grade as appropriate
- Situation: Average performer is highly paid (e.g., above the 75th percentile)
- Action: Reduce merit increase by 50%
- Situation: Repeat high performer is paid less than the 25th percentile
- Action: Use surplus budget from actions above to improve pay position
- Situation: Employee with multiple years in grade is below 50th percentile
- Action: Use surplus budget from actions above to improve pay position
- Situation: The Intel Peer Data and External Market data aren't aligned or no data is displayed
- Action: Follow Focal tool recommendation or use internal equity to current peer as the main driver for your pay decision

11.00 H

17



Resources for all phases / Budget



Headcount Skill / Type	Resource Name	нс
HR/IT PM	Keith & Brian	1.25
Focal/Mgr Dashboard	Beth	.25
HRDW/BI (SA and Testing)	David B/Michal M	1.25
C&B Analysts	Danny McKell/Carol B	.75
TCM	Joan Hallstein/Tracy Ross	.5
ner SME/Testers TBD		.5
Total uncommitted headcount (approval request)	
Total headcount		

Non Headcount Expense	Description	Amount
Travel		\$5k in Q1
Consulting		0
HW/Licensing, other BTI		0
Total		5k

400.8

Intel Confidential (intel)



Design Status



- Detailed Design Done
 - Anticipation of future needs included in Release 1:
 - More frequent refresh of external market & currency rate data
 - More than just 2x/year as required by C&B
 - Large volumes of direct reports for managers
 - Includes multiple org units for one manager
 - Log of report usage
 - Handling extreme pay ranges
 - Designing in now for future requirements:
 - External market description per employee
 - External market/ Internal market Historical data
 - C&B configuration for peer group & percentiles
 - Security access aligned to Mgr Dash roles/exceptions
 - Present data for executive grades
 - Real-time modeling of Focal data during 4 week Focal window

400.20

20

Business Value Summary



Improved Manager Confidence and Understanding:

-Providing quality pay comparison data to Managers increases understanding and improves merit allocation decisions, thus enabling productive pay conversations with direct reports and improves Employee Relations, retention, and reduces legal risk

-These can be measured through existing Org Health Survey, Focal Manager survey feedback, and retention metrics

-Enabling competitive salaries in job offers improves Employee Relations and retention

Cost Avoidance:

-Enabling Managers to make better pay adjustments (within annual Focal budgets) prevents future unplanned Salary Market Adjustments (SMA)

- \$1-15M per year (to be verified and updated at end of Explore)

400.24

Intel Confidential (intel

Business Transformation



Today	Future		
Managers have access to less relevant pay data during Focal cycles, thus not as informed decision for merit allocation	Manager access to more relevant pay comparison data during Focal cycle, thus enabling better decisions on merit allocation		
Managers may feel that they have to follow a prescriptive approach or strict tool guidelines during Focal cycle, may feel uncomfortable in communicating results	Managers are empowered to have more discretion during Focal cycles and feel comfortable communicating results to their direct reports		
Pay strategies not well understood by Managers and employees (closed curtain)	Increased Manager understanding and confidence to communicate and educate their direct reports on pay strategies (pulled back curtain and transparency)		
EE pay discussions with managers are not always productive , results in distractions, and worst case	Increased productive pay conversations between manager and direct reports		
may leave Intel	Transparency of pay expected to increase EE retention and improved ER		
Staffing makes offers based on internal equity	Staffing makes more competitive offers base on better internal and external comparison data		

Intel Confidential

Key Features / Requirements



- Enable individual pay comparisons against internal and external pay ranges
 - View internal peer group pay range based on employees in similar jobs at Intel with ability to refresh on a regular basis
 - Includes pay distribution statistics, statistical calculations, etc.
 - Provide an external or "market" pay range with ability to refresh on a regular basis
- Ensure new pay range data and comparisons are available year around
 - During Focal window for base pay decision-making
 - Ongoing pay management (hires, saves, trending, etc.)
- Display pay ranges as read-only graphical and tabular formats
- Data access restricted to "business need to know" for Managers, BGHR, C&B, and Staffing
- Provide Administrative tools for C&B to adjust limited data elements and view usage data





Key Stakeholders



Key Stakeholder Name	Org/Group	Relationship Owner	
Ogden Reid/Claire	C&B	Keith/Brian	
Pascal Veysseire/Itay	IT	Brian	
Dorenda K	Focal and Mgr Dashboard Tools	Beth Barrer	
Greg Keating	Focal Process Owner	Beth/Brian	
Managers (EE secondary)	All Orgs	BGHR	
Devra/Tony/Claire	Focal and Pilot Sponsors	Danny	
Joan H, Tracy Ross, Pilar Velez	BGHR/Emp Comms/Focal Pilot	Danny/Brian	
Gayle Carda	Legal/Controls	Danny	

Intel Confidential

400.28

28

Critical Success Indicators



Value Dial or Value Driver	Metric	Goal	Baseline/ Current State	Actual Result
Time to market	Work Week	Release 1 by Focal 2011 go-live (WW 05)	N/A	Complete for closure decision
Biz Transformation	Report Usage	90% Pilot Managers access new pay reports during Focal 2011 cycle	N/A	Complete for Phase 1 closure decision
Employee Relations -Retention -Mgr Knowledge	OHS C&B Question Results	X% positive scores	Establish baseline in OHS 2011	To be measured in OHS 2012
Cost Avoidance	Cash	\$ 100k per year	Danny	To be measured in 2012 and beyond

Intel Confidential

